

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,418	08/20/2003	David E. Whitehead	1444-0083	8060
David M Mund	7590 05/30/200 <b>i</b> t	EXAMINER		
Cook Alex Mc 200 West Adar	Farron Manzo Cummin	CORRIELUS, JEAN B		
Suite 2850	ns succi	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60	606		2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	X	
_		

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/645,418	WHITEHEAD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jean B. Corrielus	2611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  It imply filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u>	·—	action is non-final.				
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicat	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  tr No(s)/Mail Date 4/25/07.	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/25/07 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, lines 3-5 suggest that the "input" is suitable for ensuring accurate time-of-day clock synchronization while in fact it is "the encoded time signal" that is suitable for ensuring accurate time-of-day clock synchronization. Such objection can be overcome by amending claim 1, lines 3-5 as follow: "an **input for receiving said** externally generated encoded time signal [input] suitable for ensuring accurate time-of-day clock synchronization, wherein the time signal covers a predetermined time period"

The limitations recited in claim 9, lines 3-5, do not appear to be an apparatus type limitations. The claim, as per the preamble is directed to a system. Accordingly, the claim lacks of actual structure to perform the intended claimed limitations. In addition line 3, "an externally encoded time signal" should be replaced by "said externally

Art Unit: 2611

encoded signal" so as to make use of antecedent in line 2. In addition, the objection of claim 9 can be overcome by amending the claim in the same manner suggested above with respect to claim 1. If so, the body of the claim should be amended in such a way as to be consistent with the preamble or vice versa. Claim 9, line 3, "ensuring" is mistyped as "insuring". Claim 9, line 8, "a selected operation" should be replaced by "said selected operation" so as to make use of antecedent in line 1. The claim recited a "selected operation" in line 1 and line 8, however, the claim does not set forth what operation is being selected. Similar comment applies to similar limitations, recited in claim 12. Note that any claim whose base claim is objected, is likewise objected. Appropriate correction is required.

### Specification

3. Applicant's response has overcome the objection to the specification.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-13 would be allowable if amended to overcome the objection set forth above.

#### Conclusion

5. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters noted above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Art Unit: 2611

### Response to Amendments

6. Applicant argues that the goal as set forth in the preamble of claim 1 to "synchronous sampling of analog inputs" is addressed in the last element of the claim. Therefore, examiner assumes that the last element of the claim performs synchronous sampling of analog signals to output a sampling synchronization signal". Applicant argues that IRIG-B signal is well known and needs not to be expanded. Therefore the objection has been withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/25/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant stated that he is unaware of any requirements that system claims must be limited to hardware. Note that the MPEP, for instance section 2172.01, clearly states that a device includes elements (hardware)

A claim which omits matter disclosed to be essential to the invention as described in the specification or in other statements of record may be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as not enabling. In re Mayhew, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). See also MPEP § 2164.08(c). Such essential matter may include missing elements, steps or necessary structural cooperative relationships of elements described by the applicant(s) as necessary to practice the invention.

In addition, a claim which fails to interrelate essential elements of the invention as

defined by applicant(s) in the specification may be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112,

Art Unit: 2611

second paragraph, for failure to point out and distinctly claim the invention. See In re Venezia, 530 F.2d 956, 189 USPQ 149 (CCPA 1976); In re Collier, 397 F.2d 1003, 158 USPQ 266 (CCPA 1968). >But see Ex parte Nolden, 149 USPQ 378, 380 (Bd. Pat. App. 1965) ("[i]t is not essential to a patentable combination that there be interdependency between the elements of the claimed device or that all the elements operate concurrently toward the desired result"); Ex parte Huber, 148 USPQ 447, 448-49 (Bd. Pat. App. 1965) (A claim does not necessarily fail to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph where the various elements do not function simultaneously, are not directly functionally related, do not directly intercooperate, and/or serve independent purposes.)

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2611

5.24.07